

ON POINTWISE-COMPACT SETS OF
MEASURABLE FUNCTIONS

G. A. Edgar
Department of Mathematics
The Ohio State University
Columbus, OHIO 43210/U.S.A.

The result proved below concerns a convex set of functions, measurable with respect to a fixed measure, and compact in the topology of pointwise convergence. The first and most interesting theorems along these lines were proved in [6] and [7] by A. Ionescu Tulcea. Several alternate proofs have been given since that time— for example [8]. The case of nonconvex sets was studied by Fremlin [4] and by Talagrand [10].

For the result proved here, I weaken the "separation property", and correspondingly weaken the conclusion, using the weak topology $\sigma(L^1, L^\infty)$ rather than the metric topology of L^1 or L^0 . The result is then applicable to the proof of the recent characterization of Pettis integrability in terms of the "core".

The following notation will be fixed throughout the paper. Let $(\Omega, \mathfrak{F}, \mu)$ be a complete probability space. $\mathfrak{L}^0 = \mathfrak{L}^0(\Omega, \mathfrak{F}, \mu)$ denotes the set of all real-valued measurable functions. $L^0 = L^0(\Omega, \mathfrak{F}, \mu)$ denotes the space of equivalence classes obtained by identifying functions that agree almost everywhere. Similar distinctions apply to $\mathfrak{L}^1, L^1, \mathfrak{L}^\infty, L^\infty$. The topology on \mathfrak{L}^0 [or L^0] is induced by the pseudometric [or metric] defined by

$$d(f, g) = \int |f - g| \wedge 1 \, d\mu .$$

If A is a subset of Ω , the topology (on \mathbb{R}^A) of pointwise convergence on A will be denoted $\tau_p(A)$. Thus a net f_α of functions converges to f according to $\tau_p(A)$ iff $f_\alpha(a) \rightarrow f(a)$ for all $a \in A$. If W is a subset of \mathfrak{L}^0 , we write (W, \mathfrak{L}^0) for the topological space with point set W and topology obtained from the pseudometric on \mathfrak{L}^0 . Similarly, if $W \subseteq \mathfrak{L}^1$, we write (W, \mathfrak{L}^1) and $(W, \sigma(\mathfrak{L}^1, \mathfrak{L}^\infty))$ for W equipped with the strong and weak topologies (respectively) of \mathfrak{L}^1 .

The following hypotheses will be in effect through most of this paper: Let W be a subset of \mathfrak{L}^0 . Let $E \subseteq \Omega$. Suppose the following separation property holds: If $f, g \in W$, then $f = g$ on E if and only if $f = g$ a.e.

To reduce confusion, I will also use these two notations. Let $W_1 = \{f|_E :$

$f \in W \} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^E$, and let W_2 be the image of W under the quotient map $\mathfrak{L}^0 \rightarrow L^0$. The separation property says that the identity map $W \rightarrow W$ induces a bijection $W_1 \rightarrow W_2$.

The first proposition is essentially due to Ionescu Tulcea. The proof is carefully spelled out here to show exactly the sort of reasoning that is involved.

PROPOSITION 1. Suppose W and E are as above. If W is $\tau_p(\Omega)$ -countably compact, then W_2 is closed in L^0 and the evaluations $f \mapsto f(e)$ are \mathfrak{L}^0 -continuous on W for $e \in E$. That is, the identity map $(W, \mathfrak{L}^0) \rightarrow (W, \tau_p(E))$ is continuous.

Proof. Let $f_n \in W$, and assume $f_n \rightarrow f$ (\mathfrak{L}^0). There is a subsequence (f'_n) with $f'_n \rightarrow f$ (a.e.). But W is $\tau_p(\Omega)$ -countably compact, so (f'_n) has a cluster point $g \in W$ for the topology $\tau_p(\Omega)$. Thus $f = g$ a.e. This shows W_2 is closed in L^0 .

Now fix $e \in E$. Suppose $f_n, f \in W$ and $f_n \rightarrow f$ (\mathfrak{L}^0). I claim that $f_n(e) \rightarrow f(e)$. Suppose not. Then there is a subsequence (f'_n) of (f_n) so that $f'_n(e)$ converges, but not to $f(e)$. Then there is a subsequence (f''_n) of (f'_n) such that $f''_n \rightarrow f$ (a.e.). Let $g \in W$ be a $\tau_p(\Omega)$ -cluster point of (f''_n) . Then $g(e) = \lim f''_n(e) \neq f(e)$, while $g = \lim f''_n = f$ a.e., contradicting the separation property. This shows $f \mapsto f(e)$ is \mathfrak{L}^0 -continuous on W . \square

Note. Suppose the measure space $(\Omega, \mathfrak{F}, \mu)$ has this property: if (f_n) is a sequence in \mathfrak{L}^0 , and every subsequence has a measurable $\tau_p(\Omega)$ -cluster point, then there is a subsequence that converges a.e. In that case, in the above proposition, the identity map $(W, \mathfrak{L}^0) \rightarrow (W, \tau_p(E))$ is a homeomorphism. Fremlin [4] has shown that all perfect measure spaces have this property.

In the next theorem, the case $E = \Omega$ was proved by Ionescu Tulcea [6].

PROPOSITION 2. Suppose W and E are as above. If W is $\tau_p(\Omega)$ -sequentially compact, then the natural map $(W_1, \tau_p(E)) \rightarrow (W_2, L^0)$ is a homeomorphism. So the identity map $(W, \tau_p(\Omega)) \rightarrow (W, \mathfrak{L}^0)$ is continuous.

Proof. First, I claim that W_2 is compact in L^0 . Let $f_n \in W$, and suppose $f_n \rightarrow h$ (\mathfrak{L}^0). There is a subsequence (f'_n) of (f_n) with $f'_n \rightarrow h$ (a.e.). There is a subsequence (f''_n) of (f'_n) and $g \in W$ with $f''_n \rightarrow g$ ($\tau_p(\Omega)$). Then $h = g$ a.e. Thus (W_2, L^0) is compact.

Next, since $(W, \tau_p(\Omega))$ is sequentially compact, it is countably compact, so by Proposition 1, the natural map $(W_2, L^0) \rightarrow (W_1, \tau_p(E))$ is continuous. But (W_2, L^0) is compact and $(W_1, \tau_p(E))$ is Hausdorff, this natural map is a

homeomorphism. \square

A set $W \subseteq \mathfrak{L}^0$ is uniformly integrable iff for every $\epsilon > 0$, there exists a $a < \infty$ so that

$$\int_{\{|f| > a\}} |f| \, d\mu < \epsilon$$

for all $f \in W$. In particular, W is bounded in the \mathfrak{L}^1 norm.

Here is the main result of the paper. Its proof is not difficult.

PROPOSITION 3. Suppose W and E are as above. If W is convex, uniformly integrable, and $\tau_p(\Omega)$ -countably compact, then the two topologies $\tau_p(E)$ and $\sigma(\mathfrak{L}^1, \mathfrak{L}^\infty)$ coincide on W . So the identity map $(W, \tau_p(\Omega)) \rightarrow (W, \sigma(\mathfrak{L}^1, \mathfrak{L}^\infty))$ is continuous.

Proof. Let $e \in E$ and $r \in \mathbb{R}$. The (image in W_1 of the) set $\{f \in W : f(e) \leq r\}$ is closed in $(W_1, \tau_p(E))$, and hence, by Proposition 1, closed in (W_2, L^1) . It is therefore closed in (L^1, L^1) . But it is convex, so it is closed in $(L^1, \sigma(L^1, L^\infty))$, and therefore closed in $(W_2, \sigma(L^1, L^\infty))$. Similar assertions can be made for a set $\{f \in W : f(e) \geq r\}$. Thus the map $f \mapsto f(e)$ is $\sigma(L^1, L^\infty)$ -continuous on W_2 . Thus the natural map $(W_2, \sigma(L^1, L^\infty)) \rightarrow (W_1, \tau_p(E))$ is continuous. Now W is uniformly integrable and W_2 is closed in L^1 , so $(W_2, \sigma(L^1, L^\infty))$ is compact [1, IV.8.11]. So the map $(W_2, \sigma(L^1, L^\infty)) \rightarrow (W_1, \tau_p(E))$ is a homeomorphism, and thus the identity map $(W, \sigma(\mathfrak{L}^1, \mathfrak{L}^\infty)) \rightarrow (W, \tau_p(E))$ is a homeomorphism. \square

Notes. (a) It follows in particular that $(W_1, \tau_p(E))$ is sequentially compact.

(b) Under these hypotheses it does not follow in general that the topologies \mathfrak{L}^1 and $\sigma(\mathfrak{L}^1, \mathfrak{L}^\infty)$ coincide on W . A counterexample of Talagrand [10] is also a counterexample to this.

(c) The stronger conclusion that the topologies \mathfrak{L}^1 and $\tau_p(E)$ coincide on W is true if the measure space $(\Omega, \mathfrak{F}, \mu)$ has this property: if (f_n) is a sequence in \mathfrak{L}^0 , and every $\tau_p(\Omega)$ -cluster point of (f_n) vanishes a.e., then $f_n \rightarrow 0$ in measure. Fremlin's theorem [4] shows that perfect measure spaces have this property.

The proofs of the following two corollaries are left to the reader. Corollary 4 is essentially due to Ionescu Tulcea [7].

COROLLARY 4. Suppose W and E are as above. Suppose that $E = \Omega$ and that W is convex and $\tau_p(\Omega)$ -countably compact. Then the two topologies $\tau_p(\Omega)$ and \mathfrak{L}^0 coincide on W . If, in addition, W is uniformly integrable, then the three

topologies $\tau_p(\Omega)$, \mathfrak{L}^1 , $\sigma(\mathfrak{L}^1, \mathfrak{L}^\infty)$ coincide on W .

The "separation hypothesis" on W and E is not postulated in the next Corollary.

COROLLARY 5. Let W be a uniformly integrable, convex, $\tau_p(\Omega)$ -compact subset of \mathfrak{L}^1 . Define

$$A = \bigcap \{w \in \Omega : f(w) = g(w)\}$$

where the intersection is over all pairs $f, g \in W$ with $f = g$ a.e. Assume that $A \cap \{w : f(w) \neq g(w)\} \neq \emptyset$ if $f, g \in W$ and $\mu\{w : f(w) \neq g(w)\} > 0$. Then the identity map $(W, \tau_p(\Omega)) \rightarrow (W, \sigma(\mathfrak{L}^1, \mathfrak{L}^\infty))$ is continuous. In particular, for any $B \in \mathfrak{F}$, the map $f \mapsto \int_B f \, d\mu$ is $\tau_p(\Omega)$ -continuous on W .

The following Corollary is due to Tortrat [11].

COROLLARY 6. Let X be a Banach space, \mathfrak{F} the Baire sets of (X, weak) , and μ a probability measure on \mathfrak{F} . If μ is τ -smooth, then there is a separable subspace A of X with μ -outer measure 1 .

Proof. Let W be the unit ball of the dual space X^* . Define $A = \bigcap \{f^{-1}(0) : f \in W, f = 0 \text{ a.e.}\}$. Then by τ -smoothness, A has outer measure 1 . By Corollary 4, with $E = \Omega = A$, the topologies $\tau_p(A)$ and \mathfrak{L}^0 coincide on W . Thus $(W, \tau_p(A))$ is metrizable, so the weak* topology on the dual ball of A is metrizable, so the subspace A is separable. \square

Note. From this can be deduced well-known theorems of Gothendieck and Phillips; see [2, Theorem 5.1].

The following is a result of Talagrand; partial results were proved by Geitz [5] and by Sentilles [9].

PROPOSITION 7. Let X be a Banach space, and $\varphi : \Omega \rightarrow X$ scalarly measurable. Assume $\{f \circ \varphi : f \in X^*, \|f\| \leq 1\}$ is uniformly integrable. Suppose $\text{cor}_\varphi(C) \neq \emptyset$ for all $C \in \mathfrak{F}$ with $\mu(C) > 0$, where

$$\text{cor}_\varphi(C) = \bigcap \{c1 \text{ conv } \varphi(C \setminus N) : N \in \mathfrak{F}, \mu(N) = 0\}.$$

Then φ is Pettis integrable.

Proof. Consider a measure space $(\Omega', \mathfrak{F}', \mu')$ defined by: $\Omega' = X$, $\mathfrak{F}' = \text{Baire}(X, \text{weak})$, $\mu' = \varphi(\mu)$. Let W be the unit ball of X^* ; this is a convex, uniformly integrable, subset of $\mathfrak{L}^0(\Omega', \mathfrak{F}', \mu')$. By Alaoglu's theorem [1, V.4.2], W is $\tau_p(\Omega')$ -compact. Define A as in Corollary 5; in this case, A is the intersection of all closed hyperplanes of measure 1 . This implies that $\text{cor}_\varphi(\Omega) \subseteq A$.

Let $f \in X^*$, $\mu\{f = 0\} < 1$. There is $\epsilon > 0$ so that either $\mu\{f > \epsilon\} > 0$

or $\mu\{f < -\epsilon\} > 0$; assume without loss of generality that the first of these occurs. For $C = \{f \geq \epsilon\}$, if $x \in \text{cor}_\varphi(C)$, then $f(x) \geq \epsilon$, so $A \cap \{f \neq 0\} \neq \emptyset$. So Corollary 5 is applicable. Thus the map $f \mapsto \int f d\mu'$ is $\tau_p(\Omega')$ -continuous, so $f \mapsto \int f \circ \varphi d\mu$ is weak^* -continuous, and the Pettis integral $\int \varphi d\mu$ exists. The same argument shows that the Pettis integral $\int_C \varphi d\mu$ exists for any $C \in \mathfrak{F}$. \square

Remarks. (a) In the terminology of [2], property (c) implies the PIP. (b) In the notation used above, the unit ball of A is W_1 , and $(W_1, \tau_p(A))$ is the weak^* topology there. This is homeomorphic to $(W_2, \sigma(L^1, L^\infty))$, which is clearly an Eberlein compact. So the subspace A is isomorphic to a subspace of a WCG Banach space. However, A need not be separable.

REFERENCES:

1. N. Dunford and J. T. Schwartz, Linear Operators, Part I. Interscience, New York, 1957.
2. G. A. Edgar, Measurability in a Banach space. Indiana Univ. Math. J. 26(1977) 663-677.
3. G. A. Edgar, Measurability in a Banach space, II. Indiana Univ. Math. J. 28 (1979) 559-579.
4. D. H. Fremlin, Pointwise compact sets of measurable functions. Manuscripta Math., 15(1975) 219-242.
5. R. F. Geitz, Geometry and the Pettis Integral. (preprint)
6. A. Ionescu Tulcea, On pointwise convergence, compactness and equicontinuity in the lifting topology, I. Z. Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie und Verw. Gebiete 26 (1973) 197-205.
7. A. Ionescu Tulcea, On pointwise convergence, compactness, and equicontinuity, II. Advances in Math. 12(1974) 171-177.
8. L. Schwartz, Certaines propriétés des mesures sur les espaces de Banach. Seminaire Maurey-Schwartz 1975-1976, exposé no XXIII.
9. D. Sentilles, Stonian integration of vector functions. In: Measure Theory and Appl., Proc. 1980 Conf., Northern Illinois University, G. A. Goldin and R. F. Wheeler, eds., De Kalb, Illinois, 1981. pp. 123-135.
10. M. Talagrand, Compacts de fonctions mesurables et filtres non mesurables. Studia Math. 67(1980) 13-43.
11. A. Tortrat, τ -régularité des lois, séparation au sens de A. Tulcea et propriété de Radon-Nikodym. Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré, Sect. B, 12(1976) 131-150.